USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 1 of 15

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF INDIANA FORT WAYNE DIVISION

EDUARDO MARTINEZ, Petitioner,

18 DEC 10 AM11: 25

FILED

CASE NO. 1:15-cr-1

OF INDIANA

V-

1:18-cv-232

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Responent.

MOTION TO HOLD IN ABEYANCE A MOTION TO VACATE SENTENCE FILED UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255

se, who respectfully MOVES this Honorable District Court for the Northern District of Indiana to stay and abey the habeas corpus proceedings invoked by the Petitioner in his second petition filed under § 2255. Please see Smith v. Kemper, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 26361 (E.D. Wis. February 20, 2018)(citing Rhines v. Weber, 544 U.S. 269, 278, 125 S. Ct. 1528, 161 L. Ed.2d 440 (2005). "For that reason, the Supreme Court has 'instructed prisoners who are unsure about whether they have properly exhausted state remedies, to file a 'protective' petition in federal court and ask the federal court to stay and abey the federal habeas proceedings until state remedies are exausted.'")(citing also Tucker v. Kingston, 538 F.3d 732, 735 (7th Cir. 2008)(quoting Pace v. Diguglielmo, 544 U.S. 408, 416, 125 S. Ct. 1807, 161 L. Ed.2d 669 2005)).

The Petitioner hereby asserts stay and abeyance is necessary up an until a previous motion filed under § 2255 that is currently pending before this Court is resolved. In his previous § 2255 motion, the

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 2 of 15

Petitioner conteds that he received ineffective assistance of counsel because his trial counsel failed to timely file a notice of appeal with the district court on his behalf. Up to now, the government has filed its response to such Petitioner's claim and the Petitioner has filed his reply to the government's response in opposition.

The Petitioner files the foregoing "protective" § 2255 motion in order to be within the AEDPA's one-year period of limitations. This Court issued a judgement and commitment order on November 20, 2017, and the Petitioner's period to file a timely notice of appeal expired on December 25, 2017. Therefore, this the Petitioner's second "protective" § 2255 motion is tiemly filed with this Court.

In this § 2255 motion for habeas corpus, the Petitioner does contend he received ineffective assistance of counsel at presentencing and sentencing stages of the proceedings. The Petitioner hereby asserts that in a timely manner he would file and amended § 2255 motion and a supporting memoradum of law if this Court so authorize.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of November of 2018.

Eduardo Martinez

Reg. No. 13976-027, Unit VP-A Federal Correctional Institution II P.O. Box 5010

Oakdale, Louisiana 71463

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 3 of 15 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that an original copy of both this motion and the § 2255 motion/form have been delivered to the United States Attorney's Office at: Anthony W. Geller, AUSA, 1300 Harrison St., Room 3128, Fort Wayne, IN 46802, by depositing same with enough prepaid postage in the institution's legal mail system and to be sent though the U.S. Postal Service on this 30th day of November of 2018.

AO 243 (Rev. 09/17)

MOTION UNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2255 TO VACATE, SET ASIDE, OR CORRECT SENTENCE BY A PERSON IN FEDERAL CUSTODY

ed States District Court NORTHERN District OF INDIANA - FORT WAYNE
(under which you were convicted): Docket or Case No.:
uardo Martinez
of Confinement: kdale Federal Complex - FCI II Prisoner No.: 13976-027
ED STATES OF AMERICA Movant (include name under which convicted)
V. EDUARDO MARTINEZ
MOTION
(a) Name and location of court which entered the judgment of conviction you are challenging:
nited States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana, Fort ayne Division, 1300 S. Harrison Street, Suite 1108, Fort Wayne, IN 4680
(b) Criminal docket or case number (if you know): 1:15-cr-1
(a) Date of the judgment of conviction (if you know): On or about November 24, 2017 (b) Date of sentencing: November 20, 2017
Length of sentence: 324 months
Nature of crime (all counts): Possession with intent to distribute controlled substances, a violation of 21 U.S.C. \S 841(a)(1) (Counts 2 and 4); possession of a firearm as an illegal alien, a violation of 18 U.S.C. \S 922(g)(1) (Count 8).
(a) What was your plea? (Check one) (1) Not guilty (2) Guilty (X) (3) Nolo contendere (no contest)
(b) If you entered a guilty plea to one count or indictment, and a not guilty plea to another count or indictment, what did you plead guilty to and what did you plead not guilty to?

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 5 of 15

AO 243	(Rev. 09/17)									
8.	Did you appeal from the judgment of conviction? Yes No X									
9.	If you did appeal, answer the following:									
	(a) Name of court:									
	(b) Docket or case number (if you know):									
	(c) Result:									
	(d) Date of result (if you know):									
	(e) Citation to the case (if you know):									
	(f) Grounds raised:									
	(g) Did you file a petition for certiorari in the United States Supreme Court? Yes No X									
	If "Yes," answer the following:									
	(1) Docket or case number (if you know):									
	(2) Result:									
	(3) Date of result (if you know):									
	(4) Citation to the case (if you know):									
	(5) Grounds raised:									
10.	Other than the direct appeals listed above, have you previously filed any other motions, petitions, or applications,									
10.	concerning this judgment of conviction in any court?									
	Yes X No No									
11.	If your answer to Question 10 was "Yes," give the following information:									
	(a) (1) Name of court: U.S. District Court Northern District of Indiana at Fort Wayne									
	(2) Docket or case number (if you know): 1.10									
	(3) Date of filing (if you know): July 30, 2018									
	001 001 2010									

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 6 of 15

3 (Rev. 09/17	
(4)	Nature of the proceeding: a motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255
(5) a	Grounds raised: Defense Counsel's ineffective assistance for his failure to f timely notice of appeal on the Petitioner's behalf.
(6)	Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or application? Yes No
(7)	Result: It is still pending before the district court
(8)	Date of result (if you know):
(b) If y	ou filed any second motion, petition, or application, give the same information:
(1)	Name of court:
(2)	Docket of case number (if you know):
(3)	Date of filing (if you know):
(4)	Nature of the proceeding:
(5)	Grounds raised:
(6)	Did you receive a hearing where evidence was given on your motion, petition, or application?
	Yes No No
(7)	Result:
(8)	Date of result (if you know):
(c) Did	you appeal to a federal appellate court having jurisdiction over the action taken on your motion, petition,
or applic	
(1)	First petition: Yes No
(2)	Second petition: Yes No
(d) If yo	ou did not appeal from the action on any motion, petition, or application, explain briefly why you did not:
•	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 7 of 15

AO 243 (Rev. 09/17)

12. For this motion, state every ground on which you claim that you are being held in violation of the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States. Attach additional pages if you have more than four grounds. State the facts supporting each ground. Any legal arguments must be submitted in a separate memorandum.

GROUND ONE: Claim of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel. Defense Counsel	GROUND ONE:	Claim of	Ineffective	Assistance	of	Counsel.	Defense	Counsel.
---	-------------	----------	-------------	------------	----	----------	---------	----------

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
In this Ground One, the Petitioner Martinez contends that his defense counsel was inef-
fective because he failed to object to the two level sentencing enhancement for the fire
arm conviction under 18 U.S.C. § 922(g); such enhancement of two levels did not apply
because the Petitioner did receive a sentence of 120 months for that conviction. The sen
tence of 120 months was ordered concurrent with the sentence imposed for the drug traf-
ficking violations. Id. 21 U.S.C. § 846 and § 841(a)(1). The two level enhancement do
represent a double counting in the Petitioner's actual sentence.
(b) Direct Appeal of Ground One:
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?
Yes No X
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
Defense counsel failed to file a timely notice of appeal with the district court.
(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?
Yes No X
(2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition:
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Docket or case number (if you know):
Date of the court's decision:
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?
Yes No

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 8 of 15

AO 243 (Rev. 09/17)	
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application?	
Yes No	
(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal?	
Yes No	
(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:	
Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:	
Docket or case number (if you know):	
Date of the court's decision:	
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):	
(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this	
issue:	
GROUND TWO: Claim of Traffic Asia Asia and Company Defends	
GROUND TWO: Claim of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel. Defense Counsel	
In this Crownd Two the Detitions Mouting and I that his later was a	c
In this Ground Two, the Petitioner Martinez contends that his defense counsel was ine	
fective because he faile to object to the four (4) level sentencing enhancement under	
USSG § 3B1.1 for his role in the offense. The Petitioner contends there were no suffi	
basis to support such four (4) level enhancement and that at the most two levels enha	nce-
ment would have been more adequate. The defense counsel nonetheless failed to file a	
timely objection to such enhancement.	
(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Two:	
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue?	
(1) It you appeared from the judgment of conviction, the you raise this issue?	
Yes No X	

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 9 of 15

AO 243 (Rev. 09/17)

(2) If you did not raise t	this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
Defense counsel failed	d to file a timely notice of appeal with the district court.
(c) Post-Conviction Proceed	dings:
	sue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application?
	estion (c)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition	
	court where the motion or petition was filed:
Docket or case number (i	f you know):
Date of the court's decision	on:
Result (attach a copy of the	he court's opinion or order, if available):
	earing on your motion, petition, or application?
(4) Did you appeal from	the denial of your motion, petition, or application?
Yes N	
	uestion (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal?
Yes N	
L+	nestion (c)(4) is "Yes," state:
	court where the appeal was filed:
Docket or case number (i	f you know):
Date of the court's decision	on:
Result (attach a copy of the	he court's opinion or order, if available):
(7) If your answer to Quissue:	nestion (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this

AO 243 (Rev. 09/17)

GROUND THREE:	Claim	οf	Ineffective	Assistance	οf	Counsel.	Defense	Counsel
ONO CITE ANALES.	OTALIII	O.L	THETTECTIAE	voorgrance	OI	COUNTRY .	Derense	OOUTIOCT

(a) Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.): In this Ground Three, the Petitioner Martinez contends that his defense counsel was ineffective because he failed to timely object to the two (2) level sentencing enhancement under USSG 2D1.1(b)(12) applied because the Petitioner allegedly maintained a premise for the purpose of manufacturing or distributing a contolled substance. The Petitioner does assert such enhancement should have been challenged because there is no sufficient evidence to support such application. Defense counsel was ineffective because he failed
o object and later challenge such unwarranted sentencing enhancement.
(b) Direct Appeal of Ground Three:
(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes No X
(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
Defense counsel failed to timely file a notice of appeal with the district court.
(c) Post-Conviction Proceedings:
(1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes No X
(2) If you answer to Question (c)(1) is "Yes," state:
Type of motion or petition:
Name and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Docket or case number (if you know):
Date of the court's decision:
Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(3) Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application?
Yes No No
(4) Did you appeal from the denial of your motion, petition, or application? Yes No No
(5) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal? Yes No No

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 11 of 15

AO 243 (Rev. 09/17)

	(6) If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state: Name and location of the court where the appeal was filed:
,	Docket or case number (if you know):
	Date of the court's decision:
	Result (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
	(7) If your answer to Question (c)(4) or Question (c)(5) is "No," explain why you did not appeal or raise this issue:
ROUND	FOUR: N/A
	Supporting facts (Do not argue or cite law. Just state the specific facts that support your claim.):
(b)	Direct Appeal of Ground Four:
	(1) If you appealed from the judgment of conviction, did you raise this issue? Yes No
•	(2) If you did not raise this issue in your direct appeal, explain why:
(c)	Post-Conviction Proceedings:
((1) Did you raise this issue in any post-conviction motion, petition, or application? Yes No
	(2) If you answer to Ouestion (c)(1) is "Yes," state:

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 12 of 15

Nar	me and location of the court where the motion or petition was filed:
Doo	cket or case number (if you know):
Dat	te of the court's decision:
Res	sult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(3)	Did you receive a hearing on your motion, petition, or application? Yes No
(4)	
(5)	If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," did you raise the issue in the appeal? Yes No No
(6)	If your answer to Question (c)(4) is "Yes," state:
Nar	me and location of the court where the appeal was filed:
Doc	cket or case number (if you know):
Dat	e of the court's decision:
Res	sult (attach a copy of the court's opinion or order, if available):
(7)	
of to	any ground in this motion that you have <u>not</u> previously presented in some federal court? If so, which or grounds have not been presented, and state your reasons for not presenting them: the claims presented herein have been presented to any other court on any cion for relief. See <u>Massaro v. United States</u> , 538 U.S. 500, 509, 155 L. Ed S. Ct. 1690 (2003).

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 13 of 15

O 243	(Rev. 09/17)
14.	Do you have any motion, petition, or appeal now pending (filed and not decided yet) in any court for the you are challenging? Yes X No
	If "Yes," state the name and location of the court, the docket or case number, the type of proceeding, and the issues raised.
,	
Ţ	Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 filed in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Indiana. Claim that defense counsel was ineffective for he failed to timely file a notice of appeal and to file an direct appeal on the Petitioner's behalf.
15.	Give the name and address, if known, of each attorney who represented you in the following stages of the judgment you are challenging: (a) At the preliminary hearing: Patrick J. Arata, Arata Law Firm. 1400 Lincoln Tower, 116 E. Berry St. For Wayne IN
	(b) At the arraignment and plea: 46802
	Same
	(c) At the trial:
	Same.
	(d) At sentencing:
	(e) On appeal:
	None. (f) In any post-conviction proceeding:
	None.
	(g) On appeal from any ruling against you in a post-conviction proceeding:
16.	Were you sentenced on more than one court of an indictment, or on more than one indictment, in the same court and at the same time? Yes No X
17.	Do you have any future sentence to serve after you complete the sentence for the judgment that you are challenging? Yes No X
	(a) If so, give name and location of court that imposed the other sentence you will serve in the future:
	(b) Give the date the other sentence was imposed:
	(c) Give the length of the other sentence:
	(d) Have you filed, or do you plan to file, any motion, petition, or application that challenges the judgment or
	sentence to be served in the future? Yes No

USDC IN/ND case 1:15-cr-00001-TLS-SLC document 318 filed 12/10/18 page 14 of 15

AO 243 (Rev. 09/17)
Therefore, movant asks that the Court grant the following relief: To hold the foregoin § 2255 motion to vacate sentence in abeyance untia previous motion pending before this Court is resolved.
or any other relief to which movant may be entitled.
Signature of Attorney (if any)
I declare (or certify, verify, or state) under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct and that this Motion under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 was placed in the prison mailing system on
Executed (signed) on $11-30-20/8$ (date)
Signature of Movant
If the person signing is not movant, state relationship to movant and explain why movant is not signing this motion

